UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,077	08/04/2006	Paulo Eduardo Mantelatto	28174-0003US1	1522
26211 7590 02/23/2011 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. (NY)			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022			AUDET, MAURY A	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/596,077	MANTELATTO ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MAURY AUDET	1654			
All Participants:	Status of Application: After	Status of Application: <u>After RCE</u>			
(1) MAURY AUDET, Examiner.	(3)				
(2) Peter Ludwig, Applicant's Representative.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 16 February 2011	Time: <u>1415</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: NA					
Claims discussed: NA					
Prior art documents discussed: NA					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Maury Audet/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Applicant following the filing of the RCE to have them flush out and get back to the Examiner as to the specific step(s) and unexpected results attained that distinguish the presently claimed method of obtainting PHA's from bacteria from that of the prior art directed to carrying out the same end result. Applicant's Representative indicated he would get back to the Examiner after discussion with Applicant.